Fault-Tolerance for PastryGrid Middleware

Christophe Cérin¹, Heithem Abbes^{1,2}, Mohamed Jemni², Yazid Missaoui²

¹LIPN, Université de Paris XIII, CNRS UMR 7030, France ²UTIC, ESSTT, Université de Tunis, Tunisia

HPGC'10 - IPDPS

Outlines



2 PastryGrid

3 Fault Tolerance in PastryGrid

4 Conclusion

Desktop Grid Architectures



Key Points

- Federation of thousand of nodes;
- Internet as the communication layer: no trust!
- Volatility; local IP; Firewall

Desktop Grid Architectures



Future Generation (in 2006)

- Distributed Architecture
- Architecture with modularity: every component is "configurable": scheduler, storage, transport protocole
- Direct communications between peers;
- Security;
- Applications coming from any sciences (e-Science applications)

In search of distributed architecture

PastryGrid

• An approach based on structured overlay network to discover (on the fly) the next node executing the next task

In search of distributed architecture

PastryGrid

- An approach based on structured overlay network to discover (on the fly) the next node executing the next task
- Decentralizes the execution of a distributed application with precedences between tasks

Main objectives

• Fully distributed execution of task graph;

- Fully distributed execution of task graph;
- Distributed resource management;

- Fully distributed execution of task graph;
- Distributed resource management;
- Distributed coordination;

- Fully distributed execution of task graph;
- Distributed resource management;
- Distributed coordination;
- Dynamically creation of an execution environment;

- Fully distributed execution of task graph;
- Distributed resource management;
- Distributed coordination;
- Dynamically creation of an execution environment;
- No central element;

- Fully distributed execution of task graph;
- Distributed resource management;
- Distributed coordination;
- Dynamically creation of an execution environment;
- No central element;

Task terminology

• Friend tasks: T_2 , T_3 share the same successor (T_6)

Task terminology

- Friend tasks: T_2 , T_3 share the same successor (T_6)
- Shared tasks T_6 : has n > 1 ancestors (T_2 , T_3)

Task terminology

- Friend tasks: T_2 , T_3 share the same successor (T_6)
- Shared tasks T₆: has n > 1 ancestors (T₂, T₃)
- Isolated tasks *T*₄, *T*₅: have a single ancestor

Task terminology

- Friend tasks: T_2 , T_3 share the same successor (T_6)
- Shared tasks T₆: has n > 1 ancestors (T₂, T₃)
- Isolated tasks *T*₄, *T*₅: have a single ancestor

Example



 Addressing scheme to identify applications and users (based on haching application name + submission date + user name — DHT (Pastry))

- Addressing scheme to identify applications and users (based on haching application name + submission date + user name — DHT (Pastry))
- Protocol of resource discovering; No dedicated nodes for the search of the next node to use → on the fly! Optimization: the machine that terminates the last starts the search.

- Addressing scheme to identify applications and users (based on haching application name + submission date + user name — DHT (Pastry))
- Protocol of resource discovering; No dedicated nodes for the search of the next node to use → on the fly! Optimization: the machine that terminates the last starts the search.
- Rendez-vous concept (RDV); Objectives: localisation of a node without IP; task coordination; data recovery;

- Addressing scheme to identify applications and users (based on haching application name + submission date + user name — DHT (Pastry))
- Protocol of resource discovering; No dedicated nodes for the search of the next node to use → on the fly! Optimization: the machine that terminates the last starts the search.
- Rendez-vous concept (RDV); Objectives: localisation of a node without IP; task coordination; data recovery;
- coordination protocol between machines participating in the application.

- Addressing scheme to identify applications and users (based on haching application name + submission date + user name — DHT (Pastry))
- Protocol of resource discovering; No dedicated nodes for the search of the next node to use → on the fly! Optimization: the machine that terminates the last starts the search.
- Rendez-vous concept (RDV); Objectives: localisation of a node without IP; task coordination; data recovery;
- coordination protocol between machines participating in the application.

Coordinator

• Known at the beginning;

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;
- Management of all applications (overload)

Coordinator

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;
- Management of all applications (overload)

RDV

Unknown;

Coordinator

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;
- Management of all applications (overload)

- Unknown;
- Variable;

Coordinator

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;
- Management of all applications (overload)

- Unknown;
- Variable;
- Failure: may still run;

Coordinator

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;
- Management of all applications (overload)

- Unknown;
- Variable;
- Failure: may still run;
- Distributed data management;

Coordinator

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;
- Management of all applications (overload)

- Unknown;
- Variable;
- Failure: may still run;
- Distributed data management;
- RDV for each application (limited overload)

Coordinator

- Known at the beginning;
- Central element on a decicated place;
- Failure: the system crashes;
- Centralized resource management;
- Management of all applications (overload)

- Unknown;
- Variable;
- Failure: may still run;
- Distributed data management;
- RDV for each application (limited overload)

PastryGrid

Fault Tolerance in PastryGric

Conclusion



How PastryGrid works



• Hash (Application Name + User Name + Submission Date): Unique identifier *ApplicationId*



- Hash (Application Name + User Name + Submission Date): Unique identifier *ApplicationId*
- Initialization of RDV: The machine which is closest numerically to *ApplicationId*



- Hash (Application Name + User Name + Submission Date): Unique identifier *ApplicationId*
- Initialization of RDV: The machine which is closest numerically to *ApplicationId*
- Search for free machine and assignment of tasks T1, T2 and T3



- Hash (Application Name + User Name + Submission Date): Unique identifier *ApplicationId*
- Initialization of RDV: The machine which is closest numerically to *ApplicationId*
- Search for free machine and assignment of tasks T1, T2 and T3



 Request and Data Recovery by M1, M2 and M3: DataRequest and YourData



PastryGrid

Fault Tolerance in PastryGrid

Conclusion

How PastryGrid works



• M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found



- M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found
- M3 ends T3 but does not seek a machine for T6



- M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found
- M3 ends T3 but does not seek a machine for T6



- M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found
- M3 ends T3 but does not seek a machine for T6



- M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found
- M3 ends T3 but does not seek a machine for T6



- M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found
- M3 ends T3 but does not seek a machine for T6



- M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found
- M3 ends T3 but does not seek a machine for T6
- $\bullet~$ M2 seeks M5 and M6 and assigns T5 and T6



- M1 assigns T4 to M4 that she had found
- M3 ends T3 but does not seek a machine for T6
- M2 seeks M5 and M6 and assigns T5 and T6

 Passive replication based on Past (maintaining of k copies of the node states); update copies when a modification occurs on a source node; automatically creation of a copy (to maintain k)

- Passive replication based on Past (maintaining of k copies of the node states); update copies when a modification occurs on a source node; automatically creation of a copy (to maintain k)
- If we adopt such approach \Rightarrow node explosion;

- Passive replication based on Past (maintaining of k copies of the node states); update copies when a modification occurs on a source node; automatically creation of a copy (to maintain k)
- If we adopt such approach \Rightarrow node explosion;
- A new component has been added: FTC (Fault Tolerant Component) node
 - Supervises tasks that are running;

- Passive replication based on Past (maintaining of k copies of the node states); update copies when a modification occurs on a source node; automatically creation of a copy (to maintain k)
- If we adopt such approach \Rightarrow node explosion;
- A new component has been added: FTC (Fault Tolerant Component) node
 - Supervises tasks that are running;
 - A FTC component for each application; It contacts the RDV to decide the tasks to supervise;

- Passive replication based on Past (maintaining of k copies of the node states); update copies when a modification occurs on a source node; automatically creation of a copy (to maintain k)
- If we adopt such approach \Rightarrow node explosion;
- A new component has been added: FTC (Fault Tolerant Component) node
 - Supervises tasks that are running;
 - A FTC component for each application; It contacts the RDV to decide the tasks to supervise;
 - k copies of the FTC and k copies of the RDV per application. In fact you have 3 types of nodes: computing nodes, FTC nodes and RDV nodes to manage;

- Passive replication based on Past (maintaining of k copies of the node states); update copies when a modification occurs on a source node; automatically creation of a copy (to maintain k)
- If we adopt such approach \Rightarrow node explosion;
- A new component has been added: FTC (Fault Tolerant Component) node
 - Supervises tasks that are running;
 - A FTC component for each application; It contacts the RDV to decide the tasks to supervise;
 - k copies of the FTC and k copies of the RDV per application. In fact you have 3 types of nodes: computing nodes, FTC nodes and RDV nodes to manage;





• M initializes the RDV and the FTC of the application



- M initializes the RDV and the FTC of the application
- M assigns tasks T1, T2 to M1 and M2



- M initializes the RDV and the FTC of the application
- M assigns tasks T1, T2 to M1 and M2
- PAST creates k (k = 2) replicas RDV1, RDV2 for RDV and FTC1, FTC2 for FTC





 $\bullet\,$ M1 and M2 recover from RDV, the data for T1 and T2



- M1 and M2 recover from RDV, the data for T1 and T2
- The RDV informed the FTC of running tasks (T1 and T2)



- M1 and M2 recover from RDV, the data for T1 and T2
- The RDV informed the FTC of running tasks (T1 and T2)
- The FTC supervises the execution of tasks T1 and T2 on M1 and M2



- M1 and M2 recover from RDV, the data for T1 and T2
- The RDV informed the FTC of running tasks (T1 and T2)
- The FTC supervises the execution of tasks T1 and T2 on M1 and M2

PastryGrid Validation

The FT part

Intensive experiments have been conducted (each machine has a probability P to fail for X seconds): P = 20%, 40%, 80%; 100 applications (2 to 128 // tasks); on 200 nodes

PastryGrid Validation

The FT part

- Intensive experiments have been conducted (each machine has a probability P to fail for X seconds): P = 20%, 40%, 80%; 100 applications (2 to 128 // tasks); on 200 nodes
- Main observations:
 - In all cases, PastryGrid terminates;
 - The recovery time depends on the node type;
 - The delay varies from 4:53s to 7:16:41s... but it works! The number of delayed applications varies from 44 to 98.

	Prob.	Execution	#Failed	#Delayed	#FTC	# RDV
	in %	time (s)	nodes	applications	nodes	nodes
Scenario 1	20	2h, 13mn and 2secs	66	44	2	2
Scenario 2	50	3h, 22mn and 27secs	198	58	8	7
Scenario 3	80	9h, 24mn and 49secs	583	98	12	14

Conclusion

• PastryGrid: Fault-tolerant decentralized system for running distributed applications with precedence between tasks

Conclusion

- PastryGrid: Fault-tolerant decentralized system for running distributed applications with precedence between tasks
- Creation of a dynamic execution environment for each application

Conclusion

- PastryGrid: Fault-tolerant decentralized system for running distributed applications with precedence between tasks
- Creation of a dynamic execution environment for each application
- Decentralized collaboration between machines for application tasks management

Perspectives

 DG has proved to be relevant for resource sharing ⇒ transpose this success story to the Cloud and PaaS universes
⇒ offer a technical alternate to Google, Salesforce, Amazon big farm of servers

Perspectives

- DG has proved to be relevant for resource sharing ⇒ transpose this success story to the Cloud and PaaS universes ⇒ offer a technical alternate to Google, Salesforce, Amazon big farm of servers
- PastryGrid is based on emerging open source Cloud solution. From an economic point of view: if it is less expensive to host services locally and if it support a wide range of applications → more potential partners, then small/medium size companies will adopt PastryGrid;

Perspectives

- DG has proved to be relevant for resource sharing ⇒ transpose this success story to the Cloud and PaaS universes ⇒ offer a technical alternate to Google, Salesforce, Amazon big farm of servers
- PastryGrid is based on emerging open source Cloud solution. From an economic point of view: if it is less expensive to host services locally and if it support a wide range of applications → more potential partners, then small/medium size companies will adopt PastryGrid;

Fault-Tolerance for PastryGrid Middleware

Christophe Cérin¹, Heithem Abbes^{1,2}, Mohamed Jemni², Yazid Missaoui²

¹LIPN, Université de Paris XIII, CNRS UMR 7030, France ²UTIC, ESSTT, Université de Tunis, Tunisia

HPGC'10 - IPDPS